Computer Science 477/577

More on Clustering

Lecture 17

Hierarchical Clustering

- **Two main types of hierarchical clustering**
	- □ Agglomerative:
		- Start with the points as individual clusters
		- At each step, merge the closest pair of clusters until only one cluster (or k clusters) left
	- **Divisive:**
		- Start with one, all-inclusive cluster
		- At each step, split a cluster until each cluster contains a point (or there are k clusters)
- **Traditional hierarchical algorithms use a similarity or** distance matrix
	- □ Merge or split one cluster at a time

Agglomerative Clustering Algorithm

- More popular hierarchical clustering technique
- Basic algorithm is straightforward
	- 1. Compute the proximity matrix
	- 2. Let each data point be a cluster
	- 3. Repeat
	- 4. Merge the two closest clusters
	- 5. Update the proximity matrix
	- 6. Until only a single cluster remains
- Key operation is the computation of the proximity of two clusters
	- □ Different approaches to defining the distance between clusters distinguish the different algorithms

Starting Situation

■ Start with clusters of individual points and a proximity matrix

Intermediate Situation

After some merging steps, we have some clusters

Intermediate Situation

■ We want to merge the two closest clusters (C2 and C5) and update the proximity matrix. $\begin{array}{c|c} |c_1|c_2|c_3|c_4|c_5 \end{array}$

After Merging

■ The question is "How do we update the proximity matrix?"

- MIN
- MAX
- Group Average

. . Proximity Matrix

- Distance Between Centroids
- Other methods driven by an objective function
	- Ward's Method uses squared error

- MIN
- MAX
- Group Average

. . Proximity Matrix

- Distance Between Centroids
- Other methods driven by an objective function
	- Ward's Method uses squared error

- MIN
- MAX
- Group Average

. . Proximity Matrix

- Distance Between Centroids
- Other methods driven by an objective function
	- Ward's Method uses squared error

- MIN
- MAX
- Group Average
- Distance Between Centroids

. Proximity Matrix

.

- Other methods driven by an objective function
	- Ward's Method uses squared error

- MIN
- MAX
- Group Average
- Distance Between Centroids

. Proximity Matrix

.

- Other methods driven by an objective function
	- Ward's Method uses squared error

Cluster Similarity: MIN or Single Link

- Similarity of two clusters is based on the two most similar (closest) points in the different clusters ilarity of two clusters is based on the two
st similar (closest) points in the differen
sters
etermined by one pair of points, i.e., by one li
the proximity graph.
 $\frac{11}{1.00}$ $\frac{12}{0.90}$ $\frac{13}{0.100}$ $\frac{14}{0.65}$ imilarity of two clusters is based on the two
nost similar (closest) points in the different
usters
Determined by one pair of points, i.e., by one li
in the proximity graph.
 $\frac{11}{11}$ $\frac{12}{1.00}$ $\frac{13}{0.90}$ $\frac{14}{$ Imilarity of two clusters is based on the two

lost similar (closest) points in the different

usters

Determined by one pair of points, i.e., by one li

in the proximity graph.
 $\frac{11}{11}$ $\frac{12}{1.00}$ $\frac{13}{0.90}$ $\$
	- **□** Determined by one pair of points, i.e., by one link in the proximity graph.

Hierarchical Clustering: MIN

Strength of MIN

Limitations of MIN

Original Points **Two Clusters**

Cluster Similarity: MAX or Complete Linkage

- Similarity of two clusters is based on the two least similar (most distant) points in the different clusters F SIMILATTY: MAX or Complete LINKage

illarity of two clusters is based on the two

st similar (most distant) points in the

erent clusters

etermined by all pairs of points in the two

usters
 $\frac{11}{1.00}$ $\frac{12}{0.90}$
	- **□** Determined by all pairs of points in the two clusters

Hierarchical Clustering: MAX

Strength of MAX

Original Points **Two Clusters**

Limitations of MAX

Original Points **Two Clusters**

- •Tends to break large clusters
- •Biased towards globular clusters

Cluster Similarity: Group Average

Proximity of two clusters is the average of pairwise proximity between points in the two clusters.

matrix of two clusters is the average of pairwise proximity of two clusters.

\n**proximity(Cluster_i, Cluster_j)** =
$$
\frac{p_i \in Cluster_i}{p_i \in Cluster_i}
$$

\nproximity(Cluster_i, Cluster_j) = $\frac{p_i \in Cluster_i}{|Cluster_i| \times |Cluster_j|}$

\nIt is a average connectivity for scalability since total

\nunity favors large clusters

\n**11** 12 13 14 15

\n1.00 0.90 0.10 0.65 0.20

\n0.90 1.00 0.70 0.60 0.50

Reed to use average connectivity for scalability since total proximity favors large clusters

Hierarchical Clustering: Group Average

Hierarchical Clustering: Group Average

- Compromise between Single and Complete Link
- **Burngths**
	- □ Less susceptible to noise and outliers
- **Limitations**
	- □ Biased towards globular clusters

Cluster Similarity: Ward's Method

- Similarity of two clusters is based on the increase in squared error when two clusters are merged
	- **□** Similar to group average if distance between points is distance squared
- **Less susceptible to noise and outliers**
- Biased towards globular clusters
- **Hierarchical analogue of K-means**
	- □ Can be used to initialize K-means

Hierarchical Clustering: Comparison

Hierarchical Clustering: Time and Space requirements

■ O(N²) space since it uses the proximity matrix.

□ N is the number of points.

■ O(N³) time in many cases

- \Box There are N steps and at each step the size, N², $,$ proximity matrix must be updated and searched
- □ Complexity can be reduced to O(N² log(N)) time for some approaches

Hierarchical Clustering: Problems and Limitations

- Once a decision is made to combine two clusters, it cannot be undone
- **No objective function is directly minimized**
- **Different schemes have problems with one or** more of the following:
	- □ Sensitivity to noise and outliers
	- □ Difficulty handling different sized clusters and convex shapes
	- **Breaking large clusters**

MST: Divisive Hierarchical Clustering

Build MST (Minimum Spanning Tree)

- □ Start with a tree that consists of any point
- In successive steps, look for the closest pair of points (p, q) such that one point (p) is in the current tree but the other (q) is not
- □ Add q to the tree and put an edge between p and q

MST: Divisive Hierarchical Clustering

Use MST for constructing hierarchy of clusters

Algorithm 7.5 MST Divisive Hierarchical Clustering Algorithm

- 1: Compute a minimum spanning tree for the proximity graph.
- $2:$ repeat
- Create a new cluster by breaking the link corresponding to the largest distance $3:$ (smallest similarity).
- 4: until Only singleton clusters remain

DBSCAN

- DBSCAN is a density-based algorithm.
	- Density = number of points within a specified radius (EPS)
	- A point is a core point if it has more than a specified number of points (MinPts) within Eps
		- □ These are points that are at the interior of a cluster
- DBSCAN is a density-based algorithm.

 Density = number of points within a specified radius (EPS)

 A point is a core point if it has more than a

specified number of points (MinPts) within Eps

 These are points tha EPS, but is in the neighborhood of a core point
	- A noise point is any point that is not a core point or a border point.

DBSCAN: Core, Border, and Noise Points

DBSCAN Algorithm

Eliminate noise points

Perform clustering on the remaining points

```
current\_cluster\_label \leftarrow 1
```
for all core points do

if the core point has no cluster label then

 $current_cluster_label \leftarrow current_cluster_label + 1$

Label the current core point with cluster label *current_cluster_label*

end if

for all points in the Eps -neighborhood, except i^{th} the point itself do

if the point does not have a cluster label then

Label the point with cluster label *current_cluster_label*

end if

end for

end for

DBSCAN: Core, Border and Noise Points

Original Points **Point types:** core, border and noise

$$
Eps = 10, \text{ MinPts} = 4
$$

When DBSCAN Works Well

Original Points **Clusters**

-
-

When DBSCAN Does NOT Work Well

Original Points

-
-

(MinPts=4, Eps=9.75).

(MinPts=4, Eps=9.92)

DBSCAN: Determining EPS and MinPts

- I Idea is that for points in a cluster, their kth nearest neighbors are at roughly the same distance
- Noise points have the kth nearest neighbor at farther distance
- So, plot sorted distance of every point to its kth nearest neighbor

Cluster Validity

- **For supervised classification we have a variety of** measures to evaluate how good our model is
	- □ Accuracy, precision, recall
- **For cluster analysis, the analogous question is how to** evaluate the "goodness" of the resulting clusters?
- But propriety of clusters can be subjective.
- But we need evaluation measures
	- □ To avoid finding patterns in noise
	- To compare clustering algorithms
	- To compare two sets of clusters
	- □ To compare two clusters

Different Aspects of Cluster Validation

- 1. Determining the clustering tendency of a set of data
	- Distinguishing whether non-random structure actually exists in the data.
- 2. Comparing the results of a cluster analysis to externally known results
	- To externally given class labels.
- 3. Evaluating how well the results of a cluster analysis fit the data without reference to external information.

- ermining the clustering tendency of a

Distinguishing whether non-random strine data.

Inparing the results of a cluster analysi

Ilts

Ilts

Illuating how well the results of a cluste

Cout reference to external informati 4. Comparing the results of two different sets of cluster analyses to determine which is better.
- 5. Determining the 'correct' number of clusters.
- 6. For 2, 3, and 4, we can further distinguish whether we want to evaluate the entire clustering or just individual clusters.

Measures of Cluster Validity

- **Numerical measures that are applied to judge various aspects of**
- easures of Cluster Validity
Numerical measures that are applied to judge varior
cluster validity the following three types.
External Index: Used to measure the extent to whic
match externally supplied class labels. **External Index: Used to measure the extent to which cluster labels** match externally supplied class labels. Numerical measures that are applied to judge various aspects of
cluster validity - the following three types.

External Index: Used to measure the extent to which cluster labels

match externally supplied class labels.

□ Entropy

Internal Index: Used to measure the goodness of a clustering structure *without* respect to external information.

□ Sum of Squared Error (SSE)

- clusters.
	- □ Often an external or internal index is used for this function, e.g., SSE or entropy
- Sometimes these are referred to as criteria instead of indices
	- □ However, sometimes criterion is the general strategy and index is the numerical measure that implements the criterion.

Measuring Cluster Validity Via Correlation

- Two matrices
	- □ Proximity Matrix
	- "Incidence" Matrix
		- One row and one column for each data point
		- An entry is 1 if the associated pair of points belong to the same cluster
		- **An entry is 0 if the associated pair of points belongs to** different clusters
- Compute the correlation between the two matrices
	- □ Since the matrices are symmetric, only the correlation between n(n-1) / 2 entries needs to be calculated.
- \blacksquare High correlation indicates that points that belong to the same cluster are close to each other.
- Not a good measure for some density or contiguity based clusters.

Measuring Cluster Validity Via Correlation

■ Correlation of incidence and proximity matrices for the K-means clustering of the following two data sets.

■ Order the similarity matrix with respect to cluster labels and inspect visually.

Clusters in random data are not so crisp

DBSCAN

Clusters in random data are not so crisp

K-means

Clusters in random data are not so crisp

Complete Link

DBSCAN

Internal Measures: SSE

- **EXPLUSTERS IN MOTE COMPLICATED FIGULES** CONSTANTING CONSTANTS **CONSTANTS**
- **Internal Index: Used to measure the goodness of a clustering** structure without respect to external information

D SSE

- Internal Measures: SSE

 Clusters in more complicated figures aren't well separated

 Internal Index: Used to measure the goodness of a clustering

structure without respect to external information

 SSE

 SSE is good SSE).
- **Can also be used to estimate the number of clusters**

Framework for Cluster Validity

- Need a framework to interpret any measure.
	- □ For example, if our measure of evaluation has the value, 10, is that good, fair, or poor?
- **EXECUTE:** Statistics provide a framework for cluster validity
	- □ The more "atypical" a clustering result is, the more likely it represents valid structure in the data
	- □ Can compare the values of an index that result from random
- Work for Cluster Validity

da framework to interpret any measure.

For example, if our measure of evaluation has the value, 1

that good, fair, or poor?

istics provide a framework for cluster validity

The more "atypical" If the value of the index is unlikely, then the cluster results are valid
	- □ These approaches are more complicated and harder to understand.
- **For comparing the results of two different sets of cluster analyses, a** framework is less necessary.
	- □ However, there is the question of whether the difference between two index values is significant

Statistical Framework for Correlation

■ Correlation of incidence and proximity matrices for the Intistical Framework for Correlation
Correlation of incidence and proximity matrices for the
K-means clusterings of the following two data sets.

Internal Measures: Cohesion and Separation

- Cluster Cohesion: Measures how closely related are objects in a cluster
	- **Example: SSE**
- Cluster Separation: Measure how distinct or well-separated a cluster is from other clusters
- **Example: Squared Error**
- **Cohesion is measured by the within cluster sum of squares (SSE)**

$$
WSS = \sum_{i} \sum_{x \in C_i} (x - m_i)^2
$$

Separation is measured by the between cluster sum of squares

$$
BSS = \sum_{i} |C_i|(m - m_i)^2
$$

Where $|C_i|$ is the size (number of data points) of cluster i

Internal Measures: Cohesion and Separation

- **Example: SSE**
	- \Box BSS + WSS = constant

 $K=1$ cluster:

$$
WSS = (1-3)^2 + (2-3)^2 + (4-3)^2 + (5-3)^2 = 10
$$

BSS = 4 × (3-3)² = 0
Total = 10 + 0 = 10

 $K=2$ clusters:

$$
WSS = (1 - 1.5)^2 + (2 - 1.5)^2 + (4 - 4.5)^2 + (5 - 4.5)^2 = 1
$$

$$
BSS = 2 \times (3 - 1.5)^2 + 2 \times (4.5 - 3)^2 = 9
$$

$$
Total = 1 + 9 = 10
$$

Internal Measures: Cohesion and Separation

- A proximity graph based approach can also be used for cohesion and separation.
- **Cluster cohesion is the sum of the weight of all links within a** cluster.
- **Cluster separation is the sum of the weights between nodes in** the cluster and nodes outside the cluster.

Internal Measures: Silhouette Coefficient

- **Silhouette Coefficient combine ideas of both cohesion and separation,** but for individual points, as well as clusters and clusterings mal Measures: Silhouette Coefficient
ouette Coefficient combine ideas of both cohesion and separation
for individual points, as well as clusters and clusterings
an individual point, *i*
Calculate **a** = average distance of
- For an individual point, i
	- Calculate $a = a$ average distance of *i* to the points in its cluster
	- **Calculate b** = min (average distance of i to points in another cluster)
	- □ The silhouette coefficient for a point is then given by

- Typically between 0 and 1.
- The closer to 1 the better.

■ Can calculate the Average Silhouette width for a cluster or a clustering

Final Comment on Cluster Validity

 \blacksquare The validation of clustering structures is the most difficult and frustrating part of cluster analysis.

- Without a strong effort in this direction
	- **□ Cluster analysis will remain a black art**
	- **□ Accessible only to those true believers who** have experience and great courage.